

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/038,554

| Applicant(s) |
|--------------|
|--------------|

CAPUTO ET AL.

Examiner

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1626

All Participants:

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) F. Michael Sajovec.

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 August 2003

Time: 2:20pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Lam F. Stockton
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicants' representative on August 15, 2003 to discuss the species election filed June 2, 2003. Mr. Sajovec was informed that the species of Example 8 was not embraced by elected Group III. On August 20, 2003, Mr. Sajovec elected the species of Example 9 on page 29.

888
8/20/03